

REMARKS

Restriction Requirement

In the Office Action dated October 2, 2003, the Examiner asserts the claims are directed toward two distinct species, namely, Species I drawn to Figs. 1-6 (injection molded type bowl and lid) and Species II drawn to Figs. 7-12 (thermoformed type bowl and lid) and that applicant is required to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits. The Examiner states that claim 1 appears to be generic therefore, the remaining claims directed towards Species II will be held allowable once the generic claim is allowed.

Claims 1-9 are generic to both species and, therefore, read on Species I. Claim 10 is directed to Species II.

Applicants elect, without traverse, to prosecute Species I drawn to Figs. 1-6 and claims 1-9. Claim 10 (species II drawn to Figs. 7-12) is withdrawn until notice of allowance of generic claim 1.

Preliminary Amendment

Claims 1-4 and 8-10 have been amended and claims 11 and 12 have been cancelled herein.

The applicants respectfully submit that each of the pending claims 1-9 is in condition for allowance; notice of which is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned.

Dated: November 3, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

By Matthew D. Fair
Matthew D. Fair (Reg. No.: 51,662)
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN, LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive
6300 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357
(312) 474-6300
Attorneys for Applicant